

Lecture 1: Twistor Geometry

①

Let M be complexified Minkowski spacetime with holomorphic coordinates $x^a = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and holomorphic metric $ds^2 = \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b = (dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - \dots - (dx^3)^2$

Lorentzian-real $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ sits inside M as the slice where $x^a \in \mathbb{R}$, and other flat real slices can be chosen by taking other reality conditions.

Exercise: what are the reality conditions for \mathbb{R}^4 and $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ inside M ?

Thanks to the local isomorphism between $SO(4, \mathbb{C})$ and $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we can work with a 2-spinor formalism on M , trading any vector/co-vector index, in the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation for a pair of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Weyl spinor indices

Practically, this is accomplished by contracting with the Pauli matrices: for any vector v^a , define $v^{aa'} := \frac{\sigma_a^{aa'}}{\sqrt{2}} v^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v^0 + v^3 & v^1 - iv^2 \\ v^1 + iv^2 & v^0 - v^3 \end{pmatrix}$.

This can be done for any tensor on M , again by repeated contraction with Pauli matrices.

Observe that $\eta_{ab} v^a v^b = 2 \det(v^{aa'})$, so v^a is null iff $\det(v^{aa'}) = 0$.

This implies that $v_{null}^{aa'} = a^a \tilde{a}^{a'}$ for some spinors $a^a, \tilde{a}^{a'}$, and the converse.

To raise/lower $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ spinor indices we use the natural $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant tensors:

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \text{ with conventions } E^{\alpha\beta} E_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}, E^{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha\gamma} = 2$$

$$\text{and } a_{\alpha} = a^{\beta} E_{\beta\alpha}, \quad a^{\alpha} = E^{\alpha\beta} a_{\beta}, \text{ etc.}$$

Example: Compute the covector $V_{\alpha i}$, along with V_{α}^i and $V^{\alpha i}$.

$$V_{\alpha}^i = V^{\beta i} \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} = -\epsilon_{\beta \alpha} V^{\beta i} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^0 + v^3 & v^1 - i v^2 \\ v^1 + i v^2 & v^0 - v^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -(v^1 + i v^2) & -v^0 + v^3 \\ v^0 + v^3 & v^1 - i v^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V^{\alpha i} = V^{\beta i} \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v^0 + v^3 & v^1 - i v^2 \\ v^1 + i v^2 & v^0 - v^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -(v^1 - i v^2) & v^0 + v^3 \\ -v^0 + v^3 & v^1 + i v^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{\alpha i} = V^{\beta \dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta} i} = V_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta} i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -(v^1 + i v^2) & -v^0 + v^3 \\ v^0 + v^3 & v^1 - i v^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v^0 - v^3 & -(v^1 + i v^2) \\ -v^1 + i v^2 & v^0 + v^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies that the Minkowski metric in spinor variables is given by

$$ds^2 = \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} dx^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} dx^{\beta \dot{\beta}}, \text{ where } x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - i x^2 \\ x^1 + i x^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

To obtain $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \subset \mathbb{C}M$, we need a reality condition on $x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ that corresponds to $x^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Hermitian conjugate $(x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}})^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}^0 + \bar{x}^3 & \bar{x}^1 - i \bar{x}^2 \\ \bar{x}^1 + i \bar{x}^2 & \bar{x}^0 - \bar{x}^3 \end{pmatrix}$; then

$x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = (x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}})^{\dagger}$ is the desired condition, and complex conjugation interchanges

the Weyl spinor representations: for $u^{\alpha} = (a, b)$, $\tilde{w}^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = (c, d)$

$$u^{\alpha} \mapsto \bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}} = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \text{ and } \tilde{w}^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \mapsto \bar{\tilde{w}}^{\alpha} = (\bar{c}, \bar{d}).$$

Exercise: What are the reality conditions on X^{∞} needed to obtain \mathbb{R}^4 and $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$? How do these act on the spinor representations? ③

The Twistor Correspondence: Consider $\mathbb{C}P^3$, the space of all complex lines through the origin of \mathbb{C}^4 . This can be described with homogeneous coordinates $Z^A = (z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ obeying:
 $Z^A \neq 0$, and $Z^A \sim r Z^A$ for all $r \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The scaling condition is often called "projective scaling," and the fact that all 4 of the Z^A cannot be simultaneously zero means that $\mathbb{C}P^3$ can be charted with open sets $U_i = \{Z^A \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid Z^i \neq 0\}$ $\forall i=1, \dots, 4$.

On each open set, we have 3 holomorphic affine coordinates given by $\frac{Z^A}{Z^i}$, so $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is a 3-complex-dimensional space.

Let us make the arbitrary decomposition of the Z^A into two Weyl spinors of opposite chirality: $Z^A = (\mu^i, \lambda_a)$; i.e. $\mu^i = (z^1, z^2)$, $\lambda_a = (z^3, z^4)$

We can now relate $\mathbb{C}P^3$ to M by the algebraic incidence relations:
 $\mu^i = i x^{\infty ij} \lambda_a$. What is the geometric content of this relation?

First, suppose we fix some $X \in M$. Then if we forget about projective scaling, $\mu^i = i x^{\infty ij} \lambda_a$ defines a $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, and projectivising gives $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$.

Furthermore, this relation is linear and holomorphic.

Thus, a point $X \in M$ corresponds to a holomorphic, linearly embedded Riemann sphere $X \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$ inside $\mathbb{C}P^3$. We usually refer to these as "twistor lines."

Conversely, consider a fixed point $Z \in \mathbb{CP}^3$. This can be characterized as the intersection of two twistor lines, say $X, Y \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$. Then by the incidence relations, $\mu^{\alpha i} = ix^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ and $\mu^{\alpha i} = iy^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$, and thus $(x-y)^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = 0$. ④

Now, as $x \neq y$ and $(x-y)^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} (x-y)_{\beta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$, this can only be true if $(x-y)^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = \lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ for some $\tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, which is arbitrary.

Thus, $Z \in \mathbb{CP}^3$ corresponds to a $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{M}$ whose tangent space is spanned by vectors of the form $\lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. These vectors are intrinsically null, so $Z \in \mathbb{CP}^3$ describes a totally null 2-plane $\mathbb{Q}_2 \subset \mathbb{M}$ with $T_{\mathbb{Q}_2} = \text{span} \{ \lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \}$.

But we also conclude that two twistor lines intersect iff the corresponding points in \mathbb{M} are null separated!

The holomorphicity and linearity of this correspondence means that we can apply our usual 3-dimensional intuition to the geometry.

Thus a twistor line can be specified by taking any two points, say $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{CP}^3$. Then by the incidence relations, $\mu_1^{\alpha i} = x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$, $\mu_2^{\alpha i} = x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{M}$.

$$\text{Now, consider } Z_1^A Z_2^B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} x^{\beta \dot{\beta}} - x^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} x^{\beta \dot{\alpha}}) \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\beta \dot{\beta}} & ix^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} (\lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\beta \dot{\beta}} - \lambda_{\beta \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}) \\ i(\lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\beta \dot{\beta}} - \lambda_{\beta \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}) x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} & \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\beta \dot{\beta}} - \lambda_{\beta \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} x^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} x^{\beta \dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\beta \dot{\beta}} & +ix^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle \\ -ix^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle & \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} x^2 & ix^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \\ -ix^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} & \epsilon_{\alpha \beta} \end{pmatrix} \equiv X^{AB}$$

So X^{AB} encodes a point in \mathbb{M} plus an overall projective scale $\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle$ which tells us which points we picked on the line.

Here, we used the identity $A^{\alpha \beta} - A^{\beta \alpha} = -\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} A^{\gamma}_{\gamma}$.

Conformal Structure: So far, nothing we've said in \mathbb{CP}^3 has encoded anything about M other than its conformal structure (ie, null geodesics). ④

The conformal group of M is $SO(6, \mathbb{C}) \cong SL(4, \mathbb{C})$, so conformally-invariant objects can naturally be built using ϵ_{ABCD} , the $SL(4)$ -invariant Levi-Civita symbol.

On \mathbb{CP}^3 , this means that $\epsilon_{ABCD} z_1^A z_2^B z_3^C z_4^D$ corresponds to a conformally invariant on spacetime. But this is proportional to $\epsilon_{ABCD} X^{AB} Y^{CD}$, for $X^{AB} = z_1^A z_2^B$, $Y^{CD} = z_3^C z_4^D$, and $\epsilon_{ABCD} X^{AB} Y^{CD} \propto \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \langle z_3, z_4 \rangle (x-y)^2$.

So $ds^2 = \epsilon_{ABCD} dx^{AB} dx^{CD}$ defines a conformally flat metric on \mathbb{C}^4 ; to fix the conformal scale we must make this metric well-defined projectively, which requires $ds^2 = \frac{\epsilon_{ABCD} dx^{AB} dx^{CD}}{P_2(x)}$, where $P_2(x)$ obeys $P_2(rX) = r^2 P_2(X)$.

As P_2 is a homogeneous function of degree 2, it will generically have zeros, so $ds^2 = \frac{\epsilon_{ABCD} dx^{AB} dx^{CD}}{P_2(x)}$ is a metric on $\{X^{AB} \in \mathbb{CP}^5 \mid X^2 = 0\} \setminus \{P_2(x) = 0\}$.

with $P_2(x) = 0$ corresponding to "points at infinity."

We need to fix this P_2 to get a metric on M itself, and this requires introducing a new structure which breaks conformal invariance.

Define $I_{AB} := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{AB} \end{pmatrix}$, and let $P_2(x) = (I_{AB} X^{AB})^2$. Then

$P_2(x) = \frac{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle^2}{4}$ for $X^{AB} = z_1^A z_2^B$, and $P_2(x) = 0 \iff$ twistor lines

for which points on the line have the form $Z^A = (u^A, 0)$.

We can now see that this corresponds to spatial infinity, i° , in the conformal compactification of M . With spherical coordinates, $x^{\alpha\beta} = r \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{r} + \cos\theta & \sin\theta e^{i\varphi} \\ \sin\theta e^{i\varphi} & \frac{r}{r} - \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$ (6)

and $x^2 = (x^\alpha)^2 - r^2$.

Take a twistor line $X^{AB} = Z^A Z^B$ which limits onto the set $P_2(x) = 0$ as

$Z^A = (\epsilon X^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}, \epsilon \lambda_{\alpha\beta})$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

As the Z^A are homogeneous, they cannot all vanish as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, so if we simultaneously take $r \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ r \rightarrow \infty}} r\epsilon = 1$, then

$\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ r \rightarrow \infty}} X^{AB} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv I^{AB}$. This must correspond to i° as

we have taken $r \rightarrow \infty$, and $\epsilon_{ABCD} I^{AB} I^{CD} = 0$, so it still describes a line in \mathbb{CP}^3 .

Thus, $ds^2 = \frac{\epsilon_{ABCD} dx^{AB} dx^{CD}}{(I_{AB} X^{AB})^2}$ is the Minkowski metric!

Exercise: Use the explicit formulae for X^{AB} , I_{AB} to show that $ds^2 = dx^{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha\beta}$, as required.

Twistor Space: motivated by this, we define the twistor space of M to be $\mathbb{PT} := \{Z^A \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$. In other words, this is \mathbb{CP}^3 with the line "at infinity" removed.

The correspondence between $\mathbb{P}T$ and M can be summarized with:

$$Z \in \mathbb{P}T \Leftrightarrow \alpha_z \in \mathbb{C}^2_{\text{null}} \subset M$$

$$I \subset \mathbb{P}T \Leftrightarrow i \in \bar{M}$$

$$X \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{P}T \Leftrightarrow X \in M$$

$$X \cap I \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow X \in \mathcal{F} \text{ conformal null infinity}$$

$$X \cap Y \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow X, Y \in M \text{ null separated}$$

Exercise: Define $\bar{Z}_A = (\bar{\lambda}_a, \bar{\mu}^a)$, with incidence relation $\bar{\mu}^a = -i(x^{ab})^t \bar{\lambda}_b$, and

$Z \cdot \bar{Z} = [\mu \bar{\lambda}] + \langle \bar{\mu} \lambda \rangle$. Show that $PN = \{Z \in \mathbb{P}T \mid Z \cdot \bar{Z} = 0\}$ is the twistor space of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, in the sense that $X \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \Leftrightarrow X \subset PN$ and

$Z \in PN$ corresponds to the Lorentzian-real null vector on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ $\lambda^a \bar{\lambda}_a$.